

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/676,371	09/30/2003	Julie Y. Qian	SAM0016/US	3227		
33072	7590 01/09/2006		EXAMINER			
	NDER, PLLC		RODEE, CHR	RODEE, CHRISTOPHER D		
	MAPLE ISLAND BUILD TREET NORTH	ING	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	ER, MN 55082		1756			

DATE MAILED: 01/09/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

٠		1
•	•	

## Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/676,371	QIAN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Christopher RoDee	1756		

Advisory Action	10/6/6,3/1	QIAN ET AL.					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Christopher RoDee	1756					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
• •		•					
HE REPLY FILED 19 December 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  ∴ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the	<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> </ul>						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL							
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  AMENDMENTS							
3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	ef, will not be entered I	pecause				
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.		ejected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	* **	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		•	,				
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	·	•					
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		vill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-21</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the property of the prope		•					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.			ince because:				
12. ⊠ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ⊠ Other: <u>Interview Summary (PTO-413)</u> .	. (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s). <u>12/5/05</u>					
(0 + 2 -							
		HRISTOPHER ROD PRIMARY EXAMINI					

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the proposed amendment requires further search and consideration because the acid and base of the claimed liquid toner as being separate components has not been previously presented. Applicant's position that the acid and base are not physically associated with the toner particles is noted but because the prior art acid(s) or base(s) meet the requirements of the dependent claims it is unclear how they are not also separate, at least to some extent. The amendment does not clearly place the application in condition for allowance, and as noted above, requires further search and consideration.

Continuation of 11 does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the remarks are directed to the unentered amendment for the purposes of the prior art rejection. Even if entered, it is unclear what printing operation is limiting the amount of the charge control adjuvant (see 112(2) rejection).